Application No. Applicant(s) ZELLNER, SAMUEL 10/712.527 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit PHUOC H. NGUYEN 2143 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) PHUOC H. NGUYEN. (3) (2) David A. Fox (Reg. No. 38,807). (4)____. Date of Interview: 08 August 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,6,7,16, and 17. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; During the interview, the examiner suggested applicant's representative to incorporate the subject matter matter of claims 2 and 7 into it independent claim and cancelled claims 16 and 17would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to do so and authorized examiner to perform the examiner amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Phugc H Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2143